

OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement the Commission	n's
Procurement Incentive Framework and to Examine the	
Integrations of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Standards into)
Procurement Policies	
1	

Rule making R.06-04-009

California Energy Commission Docket No. 07-0IIP-01

REPLY COMMENTS OF COVANTA ENERGY CORPORATION ON PROPOSED DECISION OF COMMISSIONER PEEVEY RELATED TO AN "INTERIM OPINION ON REPORTING AND TRACKING OF GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS IN THE ELECTRICTY SECTOR"

Cindy Adams, Manager Government Relations Covanta Energy Corporation 40 Lane Road Fairfield, NJ 07004 ph: (973) 882-4144

ph: (973) 882-4144 fax: (973) 882-4119

e-mail: cadams@CovantaEnergy.com

August 30, 2007

REPLY COMMENTS OF COVANTA ENERGY CORPORATION ON PROPOSED DECISION OF COMMISSIONER PEEVEY RELATED TO AN "INTERIM OPINION ON REPORTING AND TRACKING OF GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS IN THE ELECTRICTY SECTOR"

Pursuant to Rule 14.3 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Covanta Energy Corporation submits its Reply to Comments of the Proposed Decision (PD) of Commissioner Peevey related to an "Interim Opinion on Reporting and Tracking of Greenhouse Gas Emissions in the Electric Sector." The PD presents recommendations that would be forwarded by the Commission to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) for purposes of integration into the implementation of the state's greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction goals.

In reply, Covanta Energy Corp. supports the comments made by the Independent Energy Producers Association (IEP) on August 24, 2007, specifically those statements made in Section III (page 6).

More specifically, Covanta's issue is with the following recommendation made in the PD: "ARB attribute emissions for purchases from specified sources based on emission factors of the specified resource only if (a) the purchase is made through a PPA that was in effect prior to January 1, 2008 and either still in effect or has been renewed without interruption, or (b) the purchase is made through a PPA from a power plant that became operational on or after January 1, 2008." Our comments are as follows:

- This above recommendation will force lower emitting generators, such as Covanta's plants in California, to re-contract with their existing buyers in order to realize the value of their low emissions.
 This places them at a distinct disadvantage if they wish to negotiate contracts with other buyers.
- For example, if an existing plant renews its PPA with the current buyer (with no interruption) its actual emissions would be reported. But, if the same plant enters into a new PPA (with the current buyer after an interruption or other third party), it would be assigned the defaults emissions rate instead of the actual emissions rate. This is not fair, as it would place the plant at a distinct disadvantage when re-negotiating its PPA with the current buyer, and would totally eliminate its ability to contract with other potential purchasers for no apparent reason or logic.

• The default emissions factors suggested by the PD are inaccurate, especially for low emissions,

renewable generators like Covanta, and would undermine the intent of AB 32 to accurately track

GHG emissions in order to reduce those emissions.

Covanta's various types of renewable energy facilities in California all have low carbon profiles and

would be severely impacted by use of a default emission factor. The amount of GHG emissions

reported should not change due to a change in contractual relationship, and doing so adversely

affects the accuracy of GHG emissions reporting for AB 32.

Covanta appreciates the opportunity to submit reply comments and looks forward to participating further in

this proceeding. Please do not hesitate to contact me at 973-822-4144 should you have any questions or

comments. Furthermore, Covanta would welcome the opportunity to meet with you to further explain this

letter and demonstrate our position.

Dated August 30, 2007, at Fairfield, New Jersey

Respectfully Submitted,

Cindy Adams, Manager Government Relations

Covanta Energy Corporation

Cindy adams

40 Lane Road

Fairfield, NJ 07004

Phone: (973) 882-4144

e-mail: cadams@covantaenergy.com

- 3 -

Proof of Service

I, Lisa C. Rodriguez, hereby certify that on August 30, 2007, I have served a copy of the

REPLY COMMENTS OF COVANTA ENERGY CORPORATION ON PROPOSED DECISION OF COMMISSIONER PEEVEY RELATED TO AN "INTERIM OPINION ON REPORTING AND TRACKING OF GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS IN THE ELECTRICTY SECTOR"

Upon all parties listed on the Service List for this proceeding, R-06-04-009 listed on the most recently updated service list available on the California Public Utilities Commission website, via email to hose listed with email and via U.S. mail to those without email. I also mailed courtesy copies to be mailed via U.S. mail as follows:

Commissioner, President Michael R. Peevey California Public Utilities Commission State Building, Room 5218 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

ALJ Jonathan Lakritz
California Public Utilities Commission
State Building, Room 5020
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

ALJ Charlotte TerKeurst California Public Utilities Commission State Building, Room 5117 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

ALJ Meg Gottstein California Public Utilities Commission State Building, Room 2106 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

/s/Lisa C. Rodriguez Lisa C. Rodriguez